THE UNITED STATES OFFICE OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Applicant: David M. Bains, et al.

Art Unit: 3682

Serial No.: 09/761,573

Examiner: Kim, Chong Hwa

Filed: January 18, 2001

:

For: METHOD AND SYSTEM FOR PERFORMING GEARBOX FINAL ASSEMBLY CLEAN CHECK

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING BY EXPRESS MAIL TO THE COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Express Mail mailing label number: EV 679276292

Date of Mailing: October 25 2005

I certify that the documents listed below:

- Fee Transmittal (1 pg), in duplicate
- Comments on Statements for Reasons for Allowance (2 pgs.)
- Certificate of Mailing by Express Mail (1 pg.)
- Return Post Card

are being deposited with the United States Postal Service "Express Mail Post Office to Addressee" service under 37 C.F.R. §1.10 on the date indicated above in an envelope addressed to: Mail Stop: ISSUE FEE, Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

Robert B. Reaser

Registration No. 45,548

ARMS TRONG TEASDALE LLP One Metropolitan Square, Suite 2600

St. Louis, Missouri 63102-2740

(314) 621-5070





IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicant: David M. Bains, et al.

Art Unit: 3682

Serial No.: 09/761,573

Filed: January 18, 2001

Examiner: Kim, Chong Hwa

METHOD AND SYSTEM FOR

PERFORMING GEARBOX FINAL ASSEMBLY CLEAN CHECK

COMMENTS ON STATEMENT OF REASONS FOR ALLOWANCE

Mail Stop ISSUE FEE Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313

Sir:

For:

The following comments are in response to the Examiner's Statement of Reasons for Allowance in the Notice of Allowability dated July 26, 2005.

Applicants believe that the Statement of Reasons for Allowance in this case is improper as it merely copies portions of each limitation of the independent claim into the reasons for allowance. While Applicants believe that the claims are allowable, Applicants do not acquiesce that patentability resides in each feature, exactly as expressed in the claims, nor that each feature is required for patentability.

Also, reasons for allowance are only warranted in instances in which the record of the prosecution as a whole does not make clear the Examiner's reasons for allowing a claim or claims (see 37 CFR §1.104(e)). In the present case, Applicants believe the record as a whole

13DV-13120 PATENT

does make the reasons for allowance clear and therefore no statement by the Examiner is necessary or warranted. Furthermore, Applicants do not necessarily agree with each statement in the reasons for allowance and do not necessarily agree with the Examiner's interpretation of the teachings of the cited art.

رفر

Respectfully submitted,

Robert B. Reeser

Registration No. 4

ARMSTRONG TEASDALE LLP

One Metropolitan Square, Suite 2600

St. Louis, Missouri 63102-2740

(314) 621-5070